the clear solution became turbid. After cooling, the residue *Acknowledgment*. The authors wish to express was extracted with ethyl acetate and the extract evaporated their thanks to C. A Spereti of this laboratory for to dryness. The yield was 0.07 g. (17%), m.p. 95-100'. The infrared spectrum showed strong bands at 5.75μ $(C = 0)$, **6.45** μ (N-H deformation), and **6.87** μ (asym- his as metrical S-O stretch). A very weak band at 6.2 μ indicated data. metrical S-0 stretch). A very weak band at 6.2μ indicated the presence of a small amount of unreacted starting ma-
terial. PARKERSBURG, W. VA.

their thanks to C. A. Sperati of this laboratory for his guidance in this work and to R. D. Nelson for
his assistance in the interpretation of the infrared

[CONTRIBUTION NO. 295 **FROM** THE RESEARCH DIVISION, **ORUANIC** CHEMICALS DEPARTMENT, E. **I. DU** PONT DE NEMOURS **AND CO.]**

w-Hydroperfluoroal kylal dehydes by **Photochl** orina tion **of** *a,* **a,w-Trihydroperfluoroallcanols**

NEAL 0. BRACE

Received March 97, 1961

Photochlorination of α, α, ω -trihydroperfluoroalkanols at 10-40° gave good yields of ω -hydroperfluoroaldehydes which were recovered by distillation from the initially-formed hemiacetals. An 80% yield of 5-H-perfluoropentanal-1 at a **25%** conversion of alcohol was obtained. The yield of ω -chloro- ω' -hydroperfluoroalkane and α , α -dihydrofluoroalkyl ester sideproducts was sensitive to reaction conditions. The fluorinated aldehydes are reactive intermediates for the preparation of stable hydrates, carbonyl derivatives, and decarbonylated products.

McBee, Pierce, and Marzluff¹ obtained perfluorobutyraldehyde by photochlorination of 1,ldihydroperfluorobutanol in **24%** conversion and 80% yield, but lithium aluminum hydride reduction of perfluoro acids or esters to the perfluoroaldehydes has been more frequently used, $2-4$ since prior reduction of the acid to the alcohol is not required. An economical route to α, α, ω -trihydroperfluoroalkanols (I) is based on the telomerization of tetrafluoroethylene with methanol⁵ and the family of alcohols thus made available can be conveniently oxidized directly to ω -H-perfluoroalkanals (11) by reaction with chlorine and light at low temperatures.6 Success in this synthesis depends on the fact that the aldehydes remain combined as the much more stable hemiacetal of the parent alcohol during photochlorination ; thermal cleavage occurs during subsequent distillation liberating the more volatile aldehyde. In this way the sensitive aldehyde **is** protected from destruction by light and chlorine and satisfactory yields of product are obtained. Additional data which relate to the preparation and some novel addition and replacement reactions of fluorinated aldehydes are reported in this paper.

Isolated yields of aldehyde (and side products) as a function of alcohol chain length, temperature, and amount of alcohol used up are listed in Table I. The conversion to 5-H-perfluoropentanal-1 increased with extent of reaction up to about 50% conversion of alcohol at 10-40°, with the best yield of about 80% obtained at 25% utilization of alcohol at **40'.** Chlorination to **80%** conversion of alcohol at **20'** gave reduced *apparent* conversion and yield of aldehyde, and higher boiling condensation products. At *80°,* a **75%** conversion of alcohol gave 23% of l-hydro-4-chloroperfluorobutane so that only **26%** of aldehyde was recovered.

Photochlorination of **2,2,3,3-tetrafluoropropanol-**1 occurred smoothly at 31'. Pyrolysis of the hemiacetal and isolation of the very reactive 2,2,3,3 tetrafluoropropanal-1 was facilitated by carrying the reaction to at least 40% conversion. At lower conversions, distillation of the unchanged alcohol together with the aldehyde occurred at a pyrolysis temperature high enough to crack the hemiacetal.

1,1,9-Trihydroperfluorononanol-1 and l,l,ll-trihydroperfluoroundecanol-1 melt at a temperature too high for satisfactory photochlorination. In the case of **1,1,11-trihydroperfluoroundecanol-1** in an inert solvent at **46',** hemiacetal formation evidently was so sluggish that 1-chloro-10-H-perfluorodecane (80% yield) was the main product. **A** liquid mixture of this alcohol and a large excess of $2,2,3,3$ -tetrafluoropropanol-1, however, gave none of the chlorohydroperfluoroalkanes, but a mixture of the two aldehyde hemiacetals.

A principal side product formed in these preparations was the α, α, ω -trihydroperfluoroalkyl ω -hy-

⁽¹⁾ E. T. McBee, 0. R. Pierce, and W. F. Marzluff, *J. Am. Chem.* **Soc., 75,** 1609 (1953).

⁽²⁾ D. R. Husted and A. H. Ahlbrecht, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **(3)** M. Braid, H. Iserson, and F. E. Lawlor, *J.* **Am.** *Chem.* **74,** 5422 (1952).

Soc , **76,** 4027 (1954).

^{(4) 0.} R. Pierce and T. G. Kme, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* **76, 300** (1984).

⁽⁵⁾ **W.** E. Hanford, U. S. Patent **2,559,628,** July 10, 1951.

⁽⁶⁾ N. 0. Brace, **U.** S. Patent **2,842,601,** July 8, 1958.

4006

vol. 26

 a F analysis by Wickbolt Method. b N analysis by Dumas Method. c Isolated as an azeotrope with water, also. See Experimental. d Two allotropic forms isolated; m.p. 80° and 87–89°, needles and stubby shafts. No

TABLE III

ESTERS OF ω -HYDROPERFLUOROALKANOIC ACIDS

								$H(CF_2)_{2n}COOC \rightarrow (CF_2)_{2n}H(V)$				
	$H(CF_2)_{2n} \text{COOCH}_2(CF_2)_{2n}$ H (IV)	OН										
		Calcd.			Found				Calcd.		Found	
\boldsymbol{n}	B.P.	$n_{\rm D}^{\rm 25}$	C	F	C	F	B.P.	$n_{\rm D}^{25}$	С	F	С	F
1 $\mathbf{2}$	91.5 $(200 \text{ mm.})^a$ 47 $(1.5 \text{ mm.})^{a,c}$ $114(40 \text{ mm.})$ $144(200$ mm.) $200(760 \text{ mm.})$	1.3173 1.3146	27.7 26.1	58.5 \sim	$\hspace{0.05cm}$ 26.1	58.2 $-\cdot$	182-186 (188 mm.) ^b 1.3200 25.2 $133 - 135$ (20 mm.) $115(12 \text{ mm.})$			63.8	25.2	63.6
3	138 $(20.0 \text{ mm.})^a$ $130(16.0 \text{ mm.})$	1 3153		25 5 69 1 25 3		71.1	169 $(20 \text{ mm.})^b$ $134(1.0 \text{ mm.})$	Solid	24.9	67.4 24.6		68.6
4	$165 (15 \text{ mm.})^a$ $158(12 \text{ mm.})$ $151.5(5.0$ mm.)	$M.p. 46.7-$ 47°		25.2 70.6 24.1 71.4								
5	$102(0.6 \text{ mm.})^a$ $122(3.0 \text{ mm.})$	M.p. $50-51^{\circ}$										

^a Infrared spectrum Ester C=O at 5.55 μ only.^b Infrared spectrum OH (strong) 2.95 μ ; CH 3.37 μ ; COOR 5.58 μ .^c B.p. 93.4° (17 mm.).

droperfluoroalkanoate⁷ (IV) apparently derived from the hemiacetal.

$$
H(CF_{2}CF_{2})_{n}CHO + H(CF_{2}CF_{2})_{n}CH_{2}OH \longrightarrow
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\nII & I \\
(n = 1 \text{ to } 5) \\
H(CF_{2}CF_{2})_{n}CHOCH_{2}(CF_{2}CF_{2})_{n}H \\
\downarrow \text{CH}_{2} \\
H(CF_{2}CF_{2})_{n}COOCH_{2}(CF_{2}CF_{2})_{n}H \\
\downarrow \text{Cl}_{2}, \text{h}\nu \\
H(CF_{2}CF_{2})_{n}COOCH_{2}(CF_{2}CF_{2})_{n}H \\
\downarrow \text{IV}\n\end{array}
$$

The ester IV was obtained in increasing amounts with higher conversions. At 43% utilization of 1,1,5-trihydroperfluoropentanol-1 a conversion of 11% to IV, 8% to free acid, and 22% to aldehyde (as hemiacetal) was obtained in five hours of reaction at 20 $^{\circ}$. At 16 $\%$ conversion of this alcohol at 10° no ester was found. Some ω -hydroperfluoroalkanoic acid, and a half-ester of aldehyde hydrate, considered to be α, ω -dihydro- α -hydroxyperfluoroalkyl ω -hydroperfluoroalkanoate (V), were also isolated from these reaction mixtures. Traces of water reacted with the aldehydes to give the solid hydrates.

That the aldehydes were combined as hemiacetal during photochlorination of the alcohols was shown by: (1) The aldehyde 5.65μ carbonyl absorption band in infrared spectra was entirely absent in samples removed during the course of reaction from mixtures containing as much as 30% of combined aldehyde. New infrared bands not present in the reactants were observed.⁶ (2) Treatment of such a mixture with dimethyl sulfate and potassium carbonate⁶ gave the stable, distillable ω -hydroperfluoroalkylaldehyde methyl α, α, ω -trihydroperfluoroalkyl acetal (VI). It was found that hemiacetal formation was catalyzed by acid, but bases were much more effective. VI was also isolated from the reaction of equimolar amounts of aldehyde, alcohol, potassium carbonate, and dimethyl sulfate.

 $\mathbf H$

$$
\mathrm{H(CF_2CF_2)_nCHOCH_2(CF_2CF_2)_nH} \begin{array}{c} \quad \ \ \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \longrightarrow \\ \quad \ \ \, \text{O}H \\ \quad \ \ \, \text{O}H \\ \quad \ \ \, \text{O}CH_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \quad \ \ \, \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \longrightarrow \\ \quad \ \ \, \text{K}_2\text{CO}_4 \longrightarrow \\ \quad \ \ \, \text{O}CH_2 \end{array}
$$

Reaction of any *free* aldehyde in the reaction mixture with chlorine could be completely avoided under synthesis conditions, but did occur at a temperature of above 40° or when the reaction mixture was diluted with a solvent. At 110° a 55% conversion of 1,1,5-trihydroperfluoropentanol-1 to 1chloro-4-H-perfluorobutane and 14.5% to aldehyde was obtained. Free aldehyde alone in carbon tetrachloride gave a 64% yield in a rapid exothermic reaction.

$$
H(CF_2CF_2)_2CHO + Cl_2 \xrightarrow{\text{h}\nu} H(CF_2CF_2)_2Cl + CO + HCl
$$

Unchanged aldehyde was recovered as a carbon tetrachloride azeotrope, and higher boiling condensation products were formed. There was no indication of acid chloride. At very low reaction temperatures it was possible to prepare ω -hydroperfluoropentanoyl chloride in 25% conversion by photochlorination of aldehyde.

$$
H(CF_2CF_2)_2CHO + Cl_2 \xrightarrow{h\nu } H(CF_2CF_2)_2 COCl + HCl
$$

The acid chloride distilled with the aldehyde and was identified by infrared spectrum (COCl band

⁽⁷⁾ Esters of this class were first prepared by N. E. Wolff by heating I $(n = 3)$ with excess bromine at 180°. See also D. R. Baer, Ind. and Eng. Chem., 51, 829 (1959).

at 5.55μ). In view of the rapid conversion to chloroperfluoroalkane by chlorine and light at 20-40° it does not seem likely that either aldehyde or acid chloride are present in the alcohol reaction mixtures to any significant extent, or that much ester is formed by acylation with acid chloride.

The aldehydes gave characteristic 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazones. The melting points and analytical data are listed in Table 11, together with the pertinent properties of the aldehydes and their hydrates. The esters which have been isolated from alcohol photochlorination product mixtures are listed in Table 111.

A characteristic reaction² of fluorinated aldehydes is that of stable hydrate formation.

$H(CF_2CF_2)_nCHO + H_2O \longrightarrow H(CF_2CF_2)_nCH(OH)_2$

These products are crystalline, sublimable, very hygroscopic solids, and gave the aldehyde derivative on treatment with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine. Dehydration of the hydrate to the free aldehyde, however, requires a strong dehydrating agent such as phosphorus pentoxide. $2-4$ Storage of these aldehydes in 1,1,5-trihydroperfluoropentanol-1 is recommended since they may be recovered by simple distillation.

 α, ω -Dihydroperfluoroalkanes were obtained in quantitative yield by treatment of aldehyde with concentrated aqueous alkali.2

$$
H(CF_2CF_2)_nCHO + NaOH \longrightarrow H(CF_2CF_2)_nH
$$

II
 $(n = 1,2,3)$

1,2-Dihydroperfluoroethane has been reported,8 but the boiling point given does not agree exactly with the boiling point we found. The identity of our product was ascertained by NMR spectra which showed only one peak ascribable to fluorine and one to hydrogen which is unique for this compound. The higher homologs also gave NMR spectra consistent with the α,ω -dihydroperfluoroalkane structure. The synthesis was conveniently carried out by adding the photochlorination product mixture containing I1 directly to the aqueous alkali.

Primary and secondary amines added readily exothermically to 11. Addition of either one or two amine groups can occur to give either α -hydroxy- α, ω -dihydroperfluoroalkylamine or an α, α -diamino - α, ω - dihydroperfluoroalkane. Equimolar amounts of ethyleneimine and 7-H-perfluorohep-

(8) **A.** L. Henne and **M.** W. Renoll, *J. Am. Chem.* **Soc.,** *58,* **887 (1936).**

tanal-1 gave 1-hydroxy-1-aziridinyl-1,7-dihydroperfluoroheptane in quantitative yield. The infrared spectrum in ether solution showed the free hydroxyl group at 3.00μ , but in the solid phase the hydroxyl absorption band was shifted to 3.20μ giving evidence for strong hydrogen-bonding. The monoadduct was also isolated in lower yield from reaction with two equivalents of amine. In this case, the liquid diamino product was produced as well.

A primary amine, **l-amin0-2-(2-hydroxyethyl**amino)ethane was allowed to react with an equimolar amount of $7-H$ -perfluoroheptanal-1, but the product which was distilled in **80%** yield contained two amine residues. A corresponding amount of water and aldehyde were recovered. Intramolecular cyclization of the intermediate α -hydroxyamine having the β -NH group was anticipated, but apparently did not occur. Addition of the strongly basic primary amino rather than the hydroxyl group to the aldehyde carbonyl evidently was favored, since the product did not contain the primary amine function. Infrared analysis showed only a single OH and NH stretching band at 3.00μ ; the characteristic $NH₂$ bands were absent.

Reaction of ammonia with 5-H-perfluoropentanal-1 was briefly studied. Solid addition product was formed initially at 0° in ether solution; the oil which was isolated at room temperature was insoluble in water, was weaky basic, and contained only one amine residue.

EXPERIMENTAL

Photochlorination of α, α, ω -trihydroperfluoroalkanols. Photochlorination products and conditions are listed in Table I The analyses of new compounds are listed in Tables **I1** and 111. The starting alcohols **I** used were the commercial materials dried by azeotropic distillation with benzene and redistilled. The reaction vessel, which contained a mercury vapor in quartz tubing internal ultraviolet source,⁹ was purged with dry nitrogen. Plastic "Tygon" polyvinyl chloride tubing was used for connection to the cylinder of chlorine through an empty trap. The general procedure employed is illustrated with the photochlorination of $I(n = 2)$; essential details are given for $n = 1$ and $n = 5$. I $(n = 2)$ **[360** g. **(1.55** molas) b.p. **105" (200** mm.); *ng* **1.31621** waa placed in the irradiation vessel and cooled to a reaction temperature (inside) of **9** to **11",** with the bath at *0".* Chlorine **gaa** was fed in over a 2.4hr. period at a **12 g./hr.** rate, with no visible excess in the reaction. The hydrogen chloride which was evolved was conducted through a trap immersed in a -80° cooled bath and absorbed in water. The acid solution **was** titrated with standard allrali; *a* total of 0.35 equivalent of acid was absorbed. The cold trap contained a small amount of condensed yellow liquid identified as chlorine. The reactor liquid **(357.5** g.) was transferred to a 3-ft. platinum spinning band (Column **A)** distillation apparatus and fractionated. There waa obtained **47.0** g. (a 15% conversion or 84% yield on I used up) of II $(n = 2)$, b.p. 83-86°, n_2^8 1.295-1.300, which distilled slowly when the pot temperature reached **140'.** The main fraction had a b.p. of **85.5';** *ny* **1.295.** Its infrared absorption spectrum showed a CH band at 3.45 (CF₂H) and at 3.52 μ , and the strong aldehyde carbonyl band at **5.65** *p.* **A** trace **of I1**

(9) M. S. Kharasch and **H.** N. Friedlander, *J. Org. Chem.,* **14,239 (1949).**

hydrate, probably formed in loading the infrared cell, gave a very weak band at **2.85** *p.* The solid hydrate formed immediately on exposure of the dry liquid I1 to moist air. **A** *2,/i-dinitrophaylhydrazone* derivative, m.p. **95.5-96.5',** was prepared in quantitative yield in **6N** sulfuric acid solution of 2,4dinitrophenylhydrazine.

II $(n = 5)$ and *II* $(n = 1)$ from a mixture of *I* $(n = 1)$ and *6).* **A** mixture of I *(n* = **1, 262 g.; 2.0** moles) and I *(n* = **5, 89.0** g.; **0.167** mole) (a **12** to **1** mole ratio) gave a liquid mixture at **39'.** At this temperature, **0.5** equivalent of acid was evolved during **5** hr. of chlorination using **0.58** mole of chlorine. Distillation gave II $(n = 1)$, 45 g. $(19\%$ conversion, **67y0** yield) b.p. **36-36.8';** *ng* **1.285** recovered from the trap liquid; I1 *(n* = **5), 9.0** g. **(10%** conversion, **38%** yield), b.p. $76-80^{\circ}$ (10 mm.) distilled after excess I $(n = 1)$ was removed.

Preparation of aldehyde, ester IV and acetal VI from I. $(n = 1)$. I $(n = 1; 360 \text{ g}; 2.73 \text{ moles}; b.p. 107^{\circ}; n_{\text{D}}^{25} 1.3192)$ at **31'** (bath at **18-20')** during a **7-hr.** period at a **20** g./hr. chlorine feed rate used up **137 g. (2.0** moles) of chlorine, and gave **3.06** moles of acid vapors. The colorless solution weighed 355 g .; n_p^{25} 1.3300; and had an infrared spectrum which showed strong ester carbonyl at $5.55-5.60$ p , but no free aldehyde. Distillation of a **60.0** - g. aliquot in Column A at **200** mm. pressure gave **33.0** g. of recovered I *(n* = **l),** b.p. $70-74^\circ$; $\vec{n}_{\rm p}^{23}$ 1.3259 to 1.3228 (60% recovery) and 9.5 g. of IV $(n = 1)$, n_{D}^{25} 1.3173; b.p. 91.5^o (20% yield). The -80 $r_{\rm{trap}}$ contained 14.3 g. of \overline{II} $(n = 1)$, b.p. 36.8°; $n_{\rm{p}}^{25}$ 1.285 **(24%** conversion or **65%** yield based on recovered I). The aldehyde was extremely reactive to atmospheric moisture, had an intense odor, and was too unstable at **25"** for keeping. Reaction of **200** g. of the reaction product mixture with **126** g. **(1.0** mole) of methyl sulfate and **135** g. (1.0 mole) of potassium carbonate gave 89.0 g. (70%) of $\tilde{V}I$ (n = 1), b.p. **157-160';** *ny* **1.3294.**

Anal. Calcd. for C7FSH802: C, **30.4;** F, **55.0.** Found: C, **30.2; F, 54.6.**

Photochlorination of II $(n = 2)$ to III $(n = 2)$ or to 5*hydroperJEuoropentanoy2 chloride.* A solution of **50** g. **(0.22** mole) of II $(n = 2)$ in 159 g. of carbon tetrachloride was irradiated at **22". As** chlorine was bubbled in, the temperature rose to 32° in 10 min. and further to 40° in 25 min. At this point ice was added to the bath to reduce the ten? perature to **22"** again. After **1** hr., **5** g. of chlorine **(0.14 g.** atom) had been used, and an excess of chlorine appeared. The acid gases evolved required **0.15** equivalent of base for neutralization. Distillation of the liquids in the reactor and -80° cold trap gave 27.6 g. (0.12 mole) of III $(n = 2)$ $(54\%$ conversion of II), b.p. 50° and about 5 g. (10%) of recovered I1 (as carbon tetrachloride azeotrope), b.p. **68-72"** ; *ny* **1.3758 (50%** 11).

The material with boiling point above carbon tetrachloride **(12.5** *9.)* was partly solid of an indefinite melting point having an infrared spectrum which showed no OH of the aldehyde hydrate but prominent CF bands at 8.50, 8.85, and 9.80 μ and C-CI absorption at 12.80 μ . The liquid portion was not distillable at 60' **(12** mm.).

11 *(n* = **2) (82.3** g.; **0.36** mole) **was** allowed to react with chlorine at -20° to -10° . Distillation using a high reflux ratio in Column A gave 2.6 g. of III $(n = 2)$, b.p. 49-50°; *ny* **1.292** (a **6.6%** conversion); **3.9** g. of an intermediate fraction containing a small amount of **I11** and mostly 11 **(6.370** chlorine) and 67 g. of a constant boiling mixture OF 5-hydroperfluoropentanoyl chloride and 11, b **p.** 85". The pure acid chloride has a b.p. of 87-88°. Analysis $(3.2\%$ C1) showed these fractions contained 23% acid chloride or **25%** conversion. Infrared absorption spectra showed two bands in the carbonyl region, a moderate band at 5.55μ (COCl) and a strong band at 5.65μ .

Conversion of II to II hydrates; II $(n = 1)$ hydrate. II $(n = 1)$ 1) (20.0 g., 0.154 mole) in a 500-cc., round-bottom flask fitted with a Dry Ice-cooled reflux condenser, a nitrogen inlet and stirrer was stirred while **2.78** cc. **(0.154** mole) of water was added from a calibrated syringe through a rubber

plug. Refluxing occurred and the liquid product crystallized to a mushy solid; m.p. (sinter **96.4') 97.8-98.6'** dec., dried on a clay plate. The solid when exposed to moist air quickly melted down. **A** benzene and ether wash left **2.1** g., m.p. (sinter **93.4') 94.8-96.5'** dec.

An infrared spectrum of the liquid product above showed
a strong, broad hydroxyl band at $2.80-3.10 \mu$; no CH or C=0 stretching bands, and two strong bands at 11.95 and 12.90 μ . The solid m.p. 98°, in a melt smear had OH bands at **2.80** and **2.95** *p* and no *C=O* band, whereas a Nujol mull of the solid gave only a single OH band at **3.00** *p.* The benzene-ether filtrate above **(12.0** g.) was fractionated in a **16** in. tantalum spinning band column. A forerun fraction, b.p. 98-104°; n_D^{2s} 1.3508; 1.07 g. was removed; the remainder all distilled at 104° ; n_{D}^{25} 1.3459 and 1.3504 in two cuts; **10.2** g., leaving **0.3** g. of hold-up. These fractions remained as liquids and were found by analysis to be an azeotrope of II $(n = 1)$ hydrate with 17% water.

Distillation of **10** cc. of benzene from **2.0** g. of the liquid product gave a water-benzene-II $(n = 1)$ azeotrope, b.p. **72-76',** leaving **0.36** g. of oil residue which did not solidify. Reaction of the benzene solution with 1.6 g. of 2,4-dinitro-
phenylhydrazine in warm 6N sulfuric acid solution gave a deposit of yellow 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone of II $(n = 1)$, **2.0** g., m.p. **124.6-125'.**

 \overline{II} (n = 2) *hydrate*. The solid recrystallized from warm benzene, was kept in a nitrogen-filled box and a capillary loaded; m.p. **50.5-51.0'.** An infrared spectrum of the solid had a very strong OH band at 3.08μ ; no C=O; CH at 7.14 *p,* **CF** at **7.75-10.0** *p,* and prominent bands at **11.10, 11.55. 12.15, and 12.95** μ **. A second crop of crystals, m.p. (sinter 44.5°) 46.5–48.0°, was dried in the nitrogen box, loaded into** a sublimation tube and sublimed under an argon atmosphere at an oil bath temperature of **108';** m.p. **49-51.3'.** I1 *(n* = **2)** hydrate distilled as a constant boiling mixture with water, b.p. **104-105',** *ny* **1.3338** containing **27.5%** water.

11 $(n = 3)$ *hydrate.* This compound was not noticeably hydroscopic. An infrared spectrum (melt smear) showed a broad strong OH band at **2.95-3.10** and no C=O band, while a Nujol mull had a sharp OH band at 3.03μ , but a shoulder at 2.95μ was also apparent. Besides CF bands, characteristic bands at **10.83, 12.10, 12.55, 13.30,** and **14.10** μ appeared. There was no band at 10.40 μ (963 cm.⁻¹) cited² for perfluorobutyraldehyde hydrate in any of these products.

Reaction of II ($n = 2$) *with ammonia.* Ammonia was bubbled For **2** hr. into a solution of **20.0** g. **(0.087** mole) of I1 $(n = 2)$ in 100 cc. of anhydrous ether at 0° under a nitrogen atmosphere. The clear solution became slightly cloudy as a white solid formed. Ether was allowed to evaporate while nitrogen was bubbled in overnight. A colorless oil remained. Its infrared spectrum showed NH and OH bands at 3.00 to 3.20 μ , CH at 3.39 and 3.50 μ (contained some ether, see below); no C= θ at 5.65 μ or at 6.00 μ . The product was the aldehyde-ammonia addition compound having a very weakly basic amino group.

Anal. Calcd. for $C_5F_8H_6ON$: C, 24.3; F, 61.5; N, 5.56. Found: **C, 29.4;** F, **60.5;** N, **3.5.**

Some ether remained in the sample **as** indicated by high C and low F and N.

The product was insoluble in water, but addition of a few drops of concentrated hydrochloric acid to a few drops of product gave a white soft solid precipitate which gave a clear solution with heat evolution upon the addition **of 5** cc. of water. When the solution was made alkaline with concentrated ammonium hydroxide, the oil separated again and was extracted into ether.

Reaction of II $(n = 3)$ *with ethyleneimine. At a 1:1 mole ratio.* A solution of **2.15** g. **(0.05** mole) of ethyleneimine in **50** cc. of anhydrous ether was chilled to 0" and stirred under nitrogen while 15 g. (0.05 mole) of II $(n = 3)$ was added dropwise at **4-6"** over a **40-min.** period, followed by **10** cc. of ether. A **5-ml.** aliquot was diluted to **25** ml. with ether and an infrared spectrum versus ether had a single

OH(NH) band at 3.00 μ , a weak CH band at 3.25 μ ; *no* aldehyde C= \degree O band at 5.65 μ and *no* ethyleneimine bands at 10.95, 11.70, or 12.80 μ . There were present new bands at 8.30, 11.50, 12.00, 12.70, 13.10, 13.85, and 14.30 *p.* An infrared spectrum of the solid product (m.p. 67-68', recrystallized from benzene) which was isolated by evaporating ether from a portion of the solution was quite different. A melt smear showed no OH or XH band at 3.00 *p,* but a strong band at **3.20** *p;* CH deformation bands at 6.70- 8.00 *p,* CF bands at 8.25-10.00 *p,* and new bands 10.65, 11.30, 11.65, and 14.50 *p.* Bands at 10.80, 11.50, 13.10, 13.85, and 14.30 μ had disappeared. However, an ether solution freshly prepared from the isolated solid compound was identical to the original ether solution. *1-Hydroxy-1 -aziridinyl-l,7-dihydroperJEuorohexane* (VII) was analyzed.

Anal. Calcd. for C₉H₁₂H₇NO: C, 28.99; F, 61.1; N, 3.76. Found: C, 28.4; F, 61.9; N, 3.9.

At a 2.1 mole ratio. **A** solution of 7.94 g. (0.185 mole) of ethyleneimine in 100 cc. of dry ether was stirred at *0'* while 30.0 g. (0.091 mole) of II $(n = 3)$ was added during 45 min. The reaction temperature rose to 7-8" during the addition. Ether and unchanged ethyleneimine were evaporated under nitrogen, leaving 45.3 g. of liquid. **A** solid, m.p. 63-64', 0.74 g., separated on cooling which gave an analysis corresponding to VII. Complete removal of ether from the product left 37.5 g. of liquid (100% yield for 2:1 addition product), but on standing, more crystals of VI1 began to form and distillation of 10.0 g. of the product mixture gave 3.9 g. of VII as a white solid which sublimed at $83-78^{\circ} (0.7)$ mm.): m.p. 61-66'. Analysis and infrared spectra showed its identity with VII, m.p. 87-68', isolated above. The 2:l addition compound decomposed during distillation to a dark brown tar.

Reaction of II $(n = 3)$ with 1-amino-2- $(2-hydroxyethyl$ *amino)ethane.* A solution of 33.0 g. (0.10 mole) of I1 *(n* = 3) in 50 cc. of dry benzene was stirred while 10.4 g. (0.10 mole) of **l-amino-2-(2-hydroxyethylamino)ethane** was added over a 0.5-hr. period. The temperature rose from 23 to 60.5" in 10 min. and fell to 40' during the addition. The solution was distilled in Column A. Benzene, a water layer (2.0 cc.) and unchanged II $(n = 3)$ (52.3 g.) distilled at $45-52^{\circ}$ (300 mm.). The product taken in three fractions, b.p. 96" $(1.0 \text{ mm.}); n_{\text{D}}^{28}$ 1.3958-1.3998; 28 g., was obtained in 80% yield. (The residue was 1.7 g.) $N, N''-(\text{?}-H\text{-}dodecafluoro-$ *heptyZidene)bzs-[n"-(d-h2/dro~yethyl)elhylenediamine]* (VIII) was a viscous, colorless oil which was insoluble in water and 0.1N hydrochloric acid, but soluble in 1.2N hydrochloric acid. VI11 reduced the surface tension of aqueous hydrochloric acid solution to 35.6 dynes/cm. at 2.0% concentration. An infrared spectrum showed a weak $OH(NH)$ band at 3.00 *p,* strong CH bands at 3.40 and 3.45 *p,* and a large number of bands above 7.00 *p.* The properties and analysis of VIII are consistent with the structure $H(CF_2)_{8^-}$ $CH(NHCH₂CH₂NHCH₂CH₂OH)₂$.

Anal. Calcd. for C₁₅F₁₂H₂₄N₄O₂: C, 34.6; F, 43.8; H, 4.65; N, 10.75. Found: C, 36.6; F, 45.0; H, 3.7; N 10.2.

l,~-Dihydrop~JEuoroethane.~,lO I *(n* = 1) (1030 g., 7.8 moles) was treated with chlorine (180 g., 2.54 moles) as above over a 6-hr. period. Hydrogen chloride was removed by sweeping with nitrogen and the crude mixture added dropwise to 50% aqueous potassium hydroxide solution. The gases evolved were collected in a trap, cooled in solid carbon dioxide, and redistilled, b.p. -19° ; 164 g. (20%) conversion, 63% yield based on chlorine); reported⁸ b.p. -23". NMR spectra showed only one peak ascribable to fluorine and one to hydrogen. Such a simple spectrum could only be given by the symmetrical molecule 1,2-dihydroperfluoroethane.

 $1.4-Dihudroperfluorobutane.¹⁰$ II $(n = 2)$ (15 g., 0.065) mole) was dropped into 30 cc. of *50%* aqueous potassium hydroxide solution. Heat developed and a gas was given off which was condensed and redistilled, b.p. 45'. NMR off which was condensed and redistiiled, b.p. 45°. NMR
spectra indicated the presence of CHF_2 and CF_2 groups in the molecule.

 $1,6-Dihydroperfluorohexane.¹⁰$ II $(n = 3)$ (25.0 g., 0.096) mole) was dropped into 100 cc. of 50% aqueous potassium hydroxide solution and refluxed for 1 hr. The organic layer after cooling was separated, washed alkali-free with water, dried, and distilled to give 22.9 g. (100%) of 1,6dihydroperfluorohexane, b.p. 92.5".

Anal. Calcd. for $C_6F_{12}\dot{H}_2$: C, 23.8; F, 75.5. Found: C, 24.9; F, 75.9.

An KMR spectrum was consistent with the structure assigned.

WILMINGTON, DEL.

(10) I am indebted to J. F. Smith for this experiment.

[CONTRIBUTION No. 674 FROM THE CENTRAL RESEARCH DEPARTMENT, EXPERIMENTAL STATION, E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND CO.]

Iminosulfur Oxydifluorides

RICHARD CRAMER **AND** D. D. COFFMAN

Received April 11, 1961

A new class of sulfur compounds, the iminosulfur oxydifluorides, $R \rightarrow S \rightarrow S$ OF₂, has been obtained by the reaction of primary amines with sulfur oxytetrafluoride.¹ Iminosulfur oxydifluorides are moderately resistant to hydrolysis, resembling, in this respect, sulfuryl fluoride, but react readily with the more basic amines. When attached to a benzene ring, the iminosulfur oxydifluoride group directs electrophilic substituents to the *para* position and is mildly activating.

Sulfuryl fluoride, SO_2F_2 , reacts with ammonia² $NH_3 + SO_2F_2 \longrightarrow NH_2SO_2NH_2$

Sulfuryl fluoride, SO_2F_2 , reacts with ammonia² to give sulfamide (Equation 1), while thionyl fluoride, SOF₂, with ammonia and primary amines yields imines (Equations **2** and **3) .3**

$$
NH2 + SO2F2 \longrightarrow NH2SO2NH2
$$
 (1)
RNH₂ + SOF₂ \longrightarrow RNHSOF (2)

$$
RNH_2 + SOF_2 \longrightarrow RNHSOF \tag{2}
$$

$$
RNHSOF \longrightarrow RN=SO \tag{3}
$$

When sulfur oxytetrafluoride, $SOF₄$, became easily accessible, $4,5$ a study of its reaction with

⁽¹⁾ As this paper was in preparation for publication, F. See1 and G. Simon published a note *[Angew. Chem.,* **72,** 709 (1960)l which mentions the reaction of amines with sulfur oxytetrafluoride to give, apparently, iminosulfur oxydifluorides. No specific compounds are mentioned.

⁽²⁾ **W.** Trmbe and E. Reubke, *Rer., 56,* **1661 (1923).**

⁽³⁾ M. Goehring and G. Voigt, **Ber.,** 89, 1050 (1956). **(4)** C. **W.** Tullock, F. S. Fawcett, **W.** C. Smith, and U. 1). Coffmari, *J. Lr17& Chem. Soc.,* 82, 539 (1960).